

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,874	11/13/2003	Tian-Li Wang	001107.00391	8148
22907 7590 06/22/2007 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051		,	EXAMINER	
			MCGILLEM, LAURA L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		1636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Refore the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/705,874	WANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Laura McGillem	1636	

	Laura McGillem	1030	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complianc time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> </ol>	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	acatica
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. $\boxtimes$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		-	-
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	hofore or on the date of filing a Ni	ation of Annual will an	t he entered
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	rit or other evidence is	s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a l).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

يلا عبر

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The amendment to claim 55 renders the record unclear. In amendment filed 5/29/2007, claim 55 has been amended to read "The method of claim 37.38, wherein". Claim 55 previously recited "The method of claim 38". The amendment in the present form appears as if claim 55 was previously dependent on claim 37. Therefore the record for claim 55 is muddled by this amendment.

Once a final rejection that is not premature has been entered in an application, applicant or patent owner no longer has any right to unrestricted further prosecution. The amendments are non-compliant (37 CFR 1.121). For reasons given above, the amended claims have not been entered. The amendments do not place the application either in condition for allowance or in better form for appeal. Applicant is invited to review MPEP 714.12..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura McGillem whose telephone number is (571) 272-8783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571)272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura McGillem, PhD Examiner 6/11/2007

CELINE QIAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10705874	11/13/2003	WANG ET AL.	ı	001107.00391
				EXAMINER
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 1100 13th STREET, N.W.			Laura McGillem	
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 2	20005-4051		ART UNIT	PAPER
			1636	20070611

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Commissioner for Patents**

Attached is a Notice of Non-compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121) and an Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura McGillem whose telephone number is (571) 272-8783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571)272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura McGillem, PhD Examiner 6/11/2007

g - 200	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Non-Compliant	10/705,874	WANG ET AL.
Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit
, ,	Laura McGillem	1636
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address
The amendment document filed on <u>29 May 2007</u> is cons requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amitem(s) is required.		
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE A  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include  B. New paragraph(s) should not be under  C. Other	markings.	BE NON-COMPLIANT:
<ul><li>2. Abstract:</li><li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37</li><li>B. Other</li></ul>	CFR 1.72.	
<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identified "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 C</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing amended figures, without man</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul>	FR 1.121(d). awing correction has been elimin	ated. Replacement drawings
<ul> <li>✓ 4. Amendments to the claims:</li> <li>☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is</li> <li>☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the</li> <li>☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. No number by using one of the following set (Previously presented), (New), (Not end)</li> <li>☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper head (Previously presented)</li> <li>☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper head (Previously presented)</li> <li>☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper head (Previously presented)</li> <li>☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper head (Previously presented)</li> </ul>	ne text of all pending claims (incluing the proper status identifier, and attentifier, and attentifier the status of every claim mustatus identifiers: (Original), (Currented), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	as such, the individual status to be indicated after its claim ently amended), (Canceled), wn-currently amended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or no		•
For further explanation of the amendment format require	d by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP §	714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTIC	CE:	
<ol> <li>Applicant is given no new time period if the non-cor filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.</li> </ol>		
<ol> <li>Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, wh correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of (including a submission for a request for continued e amendment filed within a suspension period under 3 Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are che non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CF</li> </ol>	f the following: a preliminary ame xamination (RCE) under 37 CFR 7 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an am cked, the correction required is o	ndment, a non-final amendment 1.114), a supplemental rendment filed in response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR amendment or an amendment filed in response to		amendment is a non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will resul Abandonment of the application if the non-cor filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliamendment.	mpliant amendment is a non-final	•
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable		ne No.

Continuation of 4(e) Other:

The amendment to claim 55 renders the record unclear. In amendment filed 5/29/2007, claim 55 has been amended to read "The method of claim 37 38, wherein". Claim 55 previously recited "The method of claim 38". The amendment in the present form appears as if claim 55 was previously dependent on claim 37. Therefore the record for claim 55 is muddled by this amendment.